

HISTORIC SITES REVIEW COMMITTEE (HSRC)

**1110 West Washington Street, Suite 250,
Phoenix, Arizona
Friday, March 6th, 2020**

A. CALL TO ORDER PUBLIC SESSION / AND ROLL CALL

- 1. Chair, Terry Majewski called the meeting to order at 9:39 AM**

B. INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS AND STAFF

HSRC Committee Members Present

Terry Majewski, Chair
Jan Balsom (via teleconference)
Brooks Jeffery (via teleconference)
John Jacquemart
Doug Kupel
Karl Eberhard
John Southard

HSRC Committee Members Absent

Don Ryden, Vice Chair
Anne Woosley

SHPO Staff Members Present

Kathryn Leonard
William (Bill) Collins
Eric Vondy
Pat Dahlen
Joanna Brace
Theresa Cano

Guests (signed-in)

Austin King
Roger Brevoort

C. NEW BUSINESS

1. NEW NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATIONS

Catalina Townhouses Historic District, 6240 N. Campbell Avenue, Tucson vicinity, Pima County, Arizona

Majewski introduced the nomination's preparer, Jen Levstik, who was available via teleconference to answer any questions.

Collins provided an overview of the Catalina Townhouses Historic District nomination.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: (4:37)

Southard moved that the State Historic Preservation Officer place the **CATALINA TOWNHOUSES HISTORIC DISTRICT** on the Arizona Register of Historic Places at the **LOCAL** level of significance under **CRITERIONS A and C** and that the nomination be forwarded to the Keeper of the National Register for placement in the National Register. The motion was seconded by Eberhard.

After open discussion, the following recommendations were made by the Committee:

- Addition of photos to make the descriptions more graphic and understandable.
- List to define contributors and non-contributors.
- Organization of the summary statements in sections 7 and 8 that could be clarified.
- More information needed about what is this landscape and what about it could be significant.
- Nomination needs a quick copy edit.

The Chair called for a vote on the original motion, which passed unanimously with a vote of 7-0. - (37:19)

Consultant should revise nomination per comments and submit to SHPO for submission to the Keeper.

ROLL CALL VOTE	
Jan Balsom	Y
Karl Eberhard	Y
John Jacquemart	Y
R. Brooks Jeffery	Y
Douglas Kupel	Y
Terry Majewski	Y
Don Ryden	NP
John Southard	Y
Anne Woosley	NP

KEY

- Y - For
- N - Against
- AB - Abstained
- NP - Not Present

2. RETURNING NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATION

Avery, Frank and Emma, House, 4203 N. 44th Street, Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona - (40:40)

Collins provided an overview of the Avery, Frank and Emma, House nomination.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: (42:38)

Eberhard moved to recommend that the State Historic Preservation Officer place the **EVERY, FRANK AND EMMA, HOUSE** on the Arizona Register of Historic Places under **CRITERIA B** at the **LOCAL** level of significance and that the nomination be forwarded to the Keeper of the National Register for placement in the National Register. The motion was seconded by Jacquemart.

After open discussion, the following recommendations were made by the Committee:

- Seek out second sources, if possible.

- More context about the early years of citrus development in order to better understand Avery’s role.
- Address formatting issues.
- More clear and honest statement about integrity which assesses the integrity of feeling and setting which is highly impacted.
- Editing of some of the material that is a holdover from the original CRITERION C nomination to make it more of a streamlined discussion about its architecture and make the B argument pop.
- More photos of the interior and discussion of the integrity of the interior.
- Period of significance should be changed.

The Chair called for a vote on the original motion, which passed unanimously with a vote of 7-0. - (1:01:40)

Consultant should revise nomination per comments and submit to SHPO for submission to the Keeper.

ROLL CALL VOTE	
Jan Balsom	Y
Karl Eberhard	Y
John Jacquemart	Y
R. Brooks Jeffery	Y
Douglas Kupel	Y
Terry Majewski	Y
Don Ryden	NP
John Southard	Y
Anne Woosley	NP

KEY

- Y - For
- N - Against
- AB - Abstained
- NP - Not Present

3. REVIEW OF FEDERAL NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATIONS
(not-for-action items)

1. Organ Pipe Cactus Mission 66 District - (1:11:05)

SHPO is reviewing the item and will provide their comments back to Organ Pipe.

2. U.S. Inspection Station – Sasabe (Additional Documentation) - (1:12:57)

SHPO reviewed and provided their comments to the preparer.

4. DISCUSSION AND PLANNING FOR HSRC SESSION AT HISTORIC PRESERVATION CONFERENCE IN JUNE, 2020

A 45-50 minute session has been set aside for HSRC at the conference. Possible topics to be discussed: slowdown of nominations, rule changes, thoughts on historic preservation in a difficult political environment, nominations that change criteria, and more.

D. OLD BUSINESS:

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM November 15th, 2019 HSRC MEETING - (1:49:10)

MOTION: The Chair called for a motion to accept the minutes with minor corrections, Kupel made the motion, which was seconded by Eberhard. - (1:49:35)

The chair called for a vote on the motion, and it was passed by a vote of 7-0. - (1:50:00)

ROLL CALL VOTE	
Jan Balsom	Y
Karl Eberhard	Y
John Jacquemart	Y
R. Brooks Jeffery	Y
Douglas Kupel	Y
Terry Majewski	Y
Don Ryden	NP
John Southard	Y
Anne Woosley	NP

KEY

- Y - For
- N - Against
- AB - Abstained
- NP - Not Present

E. STAFF REPORTS - (1:50:40)

1. SHPO REPORTS

a. SHPO National Register Update -

Bill Collins reported that since the November 15, 2019 HSRC meeting, properties listed in National Register include: Peoria Jail House (Peoria).

Currently working on final revisions on the Sunshine Mile Historic District (Tucson).

Revised Cottonwood (Cottonwood) nomination has been submitted.

b. SHPO Staffing and Program News -

Leonard reported Dahlen has taken over former SHPO architect Margy Parisella’s position in what has been a seamless transition. Parisella is still quite active in the preservation and architectural communities in her retirement. SHPO deputy Chris Cody and his wife had a baby girl. Leonard formally introduced Brace to HSRC.

c. Review and Compliance -

Leonard reported that SHPO is working on an amendment for their prototype Programmatic Agreement with NRCS to provide more clarity on how to handle documentation of survey and site reporting on private land. Also, to work on revised protocols for defining historic isolates and sites. SHPO is collaborating with the Arizona State Museum on that.

BLM is continuing consultation on their very large Conservation PA which will provide for streamlined activities related to conservation projects, erosion control, fire suppression and range land improvements.

Construction of the border wall is occurring, but it is not an undertaking under Section 106, so SHPO has not been engaged.

Another undertaking that is occurring at Fort Huachuca involves the demolition of 18 units of Capehart-Wherry housing and they have elected not to engage in Section 106 consultation. They site that there was a program comment that occurred sometime back for this type of housing even though there was a PA that committed to preserving the housing and it had expired. They are not

honoring the expired PA. They are going with the program comment. They are interpreting the current PA for third-party housing in a creative way to say that it doesn't handle demolitions, only repairs and rehabilitation as actions. Architect was Richard Neutra. Leonard said that these are significant properties. Ft. Huachuca will not be engaging in any consultation with public or SHPO. Leonard noted that there is a trend to seek program comments with the advisory council. Program comments allow federal agencies to proceed with routine activities with a blanket comment from the advisory council versus having to do standard Section 106.

Leonard reported that SHPO is closer to executing the PA for Resolution Copper the draft EIS is out for that project.

d. Survey and Inventory -

No news.

e. Grants -

Two grants awarded for Project Archaeology.

f. Legislative Issues –

Leonard informed the Committee that she will be in Washington D.C. for advocacy week to meet with the preservation delegation on the Hill to talk about raising the appropriation for SHPOs for HPF from \$52 million to \$56-\$58 million. Also, Leonard would like to ask for additional funds for database development for SHPOs.

There are changes to the Clean Water Act that have gone through Executive Orders. The changes involve a redefinition of jurisdictional waters of the U.S. to be any water that is perennially flowing. Dry washes are out.

NEPA changes have been proposed. Leonard stated that NCSHPO will express concerns about the changes. Changes include shortening of review time, decision making placed into the hands of the Federal agency to determine if an action is an action that requires NEPA review.

Two state bills for the Heritage Fund. The house bill has gone to committee as a whole and has passed through with bipartisan support. The house bill establishes a one-time drawn down of a \$10 million from the general fund. The senate bill has not gone through to a committee as a whole vote directs the state lottery to provide the \$10 million to the state fund, which would be more sustainable.

GAAC went through a sunset review process. Bills have been proposed, which will most likely include expanded membership of the GAAC.

Proposed bill, SB1241 takes select directives under the Antiquities Act and moves them from the State Museum's purview to SHPO's. Those functions would be permitting of archaeological inventory and maintenance of any reporting.

Leonard reported that the 2020 Historic Preservation Conference will be held at the Doubletree in Tempe, June 16-18th. The theme for next year is, "PreserVISION." Section of the conference will be set aside to assist in the education SHPO's ranching constituents – understanding Section 106 and regulatory compliance.

G. PUBLIC COMMENT: - (2:17:13)

None

H. CALL FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING:

Next meeting: July 10, 2020

I. ADJOURNED: (2:20:31)

The Chair called the meeting adjourned at 11:59 AM

Minutes prepared by: Theresa Cano, State Historic Preservation Office
and was posted on Wednesday, March, 11th, 2020

Minutes are considered draft until official approved by the Committee at the next scheduled meeting