



**STATE OF ARIZONA
AGENCY PERFORMANCE REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2011-12

**Prepared by the
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)**

2012 REPORT ON STATE AGENCY PERFORMANCE

INTRODUCTION

Arizona State Statutes §41-861 through §41-864 direct state agencies to: preserve historic properties under their ownership or control; consider the use of historic properties for agency responsibilities; establish a program to locate, inventory, and nominate properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places; insure that properties are not destroyed or substantially altered by state action or assistance; make appropriate documentation in accordance with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) standards if a property is destroyed or altered; and seek review and comment from the SHPO on agency plans.

This report provides a summary of the performance of state agencies in compliance with these state statutes. The information provided was compiled from SHPO records and an agency self-evaluation questionnaire. Table 1 lists the agencies that responded to the SHPO-designed survey questionnaire (see Appendix). Although more state agencies are completing this survey each year (27 agencies for FY2011-2012 compared with 22 for FY2010-2011), the actual amount of historic preservation work (even that mandated by law) appears to have *decreased* from FY2010-2011 (see highlighted areas).

Table 1. Agencies responding to the questionnaire

Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC)
Arizona Department of Agriculture (AZDA)
Arizona Department of Administration (ADOA)
Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC)
Arizona Department of Emergency & Military Affairs (ADEMA)
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ)
Arizona Department of Health Services/State Hospital (ADHS)
Arizona Department of Housing (ADH)
Arizona Department of Real Estate (ADRE)
Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT)
Arizona Department of Veterans' Services (ADVS)
Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR)
Arizona Division of Emergency Management (ADEM)
Arizona Game & Fish Department (AG&F)
Arizona Geological Survey (AGS)
Arizona Historical Society (AHS)
Arizona Office of Tourism (AOT)
Arizona State Land Department (ASLD)
Arizona Mine Inspector (AMI)
Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records (ASLAPR)
Arizona State Parks (ASP)
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind (ASDB)
Arizona State University (ASU)
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority (WIFA)
Northern Arizona University (NAU)
School Facilities Board (SFB)
University of Arizona (UA)

A.R.S. 41-861 AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

The chief Administrator of each state agency is responsible for the preservation of historic properties, which are owned or controlled by the agency. Prior to acquiring, constructing or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out agency responsibilities, each agency shall consider the use of historic buildings. Each agency shall undertake any preservation necessary to carry out this article in a manner consistent with the preservation of historic properties, the duties of the agency and professional standards, which the State Historic Preservation Officer recommends. The chief administrator of a state agency may designate a full-time employee to coordinate the agency's activities under this article.

Table 2. Employees designated to coordinated historic preservation activities.

Agency	Designated Cultural Resource Staff	Time	Other Staff	Staff Meeting Historic Preservation Professional Standards	Approx. Number of Projects/ Activities in 2011-12
ACC	N*	---	---	---	---
AZDA	N	---	---	---	---
ADOA	Y**	Part Time	---	N	1-5
ADC	N	---	---	N	---
ADEMA	Y	Full Time	---	Y	1-5
ADEQ	N	---	---	---	---
ADHS	N	---	---	---	1-5
ADH	Y	Part Time	4	N	11-50
ADRE	N	---	---	---	---
ADOT	Y	Full Time	5	Y	101-500
ADVS	Y	---	---	N	---
ADWR	N	---	---	---	---
ADEM	Y	Part Time	---	N	1-5
AG&F	Y	Part Time	3	Y	1-5
AGS	Y	Part Time	Y	Y	1-5
AHS	Y	Part Time	2	Y	1-5
AOT	N	---	---	---	1-5
ASLD	Y	Full Time	2	Y	101-500
AMI	Y	Part Time	2	N	---
ASLAPR	---	---	---	---	---
ASP	Y	Part Time	---	Y	6-10
ASDB	N	---	---	---	---
ASU	Y	Part Time	---	Y	11-50
WIFA	Y	Part Time	---	N	---
NAU	N	---	Y ¹	N	1-5
SFB	Y	Part Time	---	N	1-5
UA	Y	Part Time	1	Y	1-5

* No

** Yes

--- Left blank

Y¹ Volunteer/service advisory committee to University

Agencies were asked if they have incorporated historic preservation into their agency planning. A total of 73.1% of the agencies responded that they had incorporated historic preservation in their agency planning; when the responses of agencies that responded to last year's survey are compared to their responses on this year's

questionnaire, the percentage of agencies incorporating historic preservation into their planning decreased to 76.2% from 92% in FY2010-2011. When queried if they had included historic preservation in their state plan, 61.1% of the agencies responded in the positive. A total of 77.8% responded that historic preservation was incorporated in agency policies, procedures, or regulations, and 64.7% had incorporated historic preservation in applications or agreements.

Agencies were asked if they had designated an employee to coordinate the agency's historic preservation responsibilities. Responses indicated that 61.5% of agencies had designated such an employee. When the responses of agencies that responded to last year's survey are compared to their responses on this year's questionnaire, the percentage of agencies that had a designated staff member for historic preservation activities decreased from 86.4% to 76.2%. Among agencies that had designated a historic preservation coordinator, 20.0% indicated that the employee was full time. In addition, 47.1% of responding agencies had additional staff devoted to historic preservation activities. However, only 52.9% of agencies indicated that their staff met the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for history, architecture, or archaeology. Asked how many historic preservation projects or activities they had during the 2011-12 fiscal year, 68.8% responded that they had between 1 and 5 projects/activities, 6.3% had between 6-50 actions, 12.5% had between 11-50 projects, and an additional 12.5 % had between 101-500 projects.

Table 3 summarizes agency use of historic buildings. Asked if they had acquired, leased or constructed buildings to carry out their responsibilities, 21.7% of responding agencies indicated that they had. Also, asked if they had considered the use of historic properties available to them prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out their responsibilities, 56.5% responded that they did; when the responses of the agencies that responded in FY2010-2011 were compared with their responses this year, a 10.5% decrease is evident in the number of agencies that had considered the use of historic properties available to them prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out their responsibilities.

Table 3. Summary of agency's use of historic buildings in FY 2011

Agency	Agency Considers the Use of Historic Buildings	Acquired, Leased or Constructed Buildings for Agency Use in 2011-12	Historic Property Acquired or Leased in 2011-12
Arizona Corporation Commission	N	N	---
Arizona Department of Agriculture	---	---	---
Arizona Department of Administration	Y	N	---
Arizona Department of Corrections	N	N	---
Arizona Department of Emergency & Military Affairs	Y	N	---
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	N	N	---
Arizona Department of Health Services/State Hospital	Y	N	---
Arizona Department of Housing	Y	N	---
Arizona Department of Real Estate	N	N	---
Arizona Department of Transportation	Y	N	---
Arizona Department of Veterans' Services	N	Y	N
Arizona Department of Water Resources	Y	Y	N

Arizona Division of Emergency Mgmt	N	N	---
Arizona Game & Fish Department	Y	N	---
Arizona Geological Survey	N	Y	Y ¹
Arizona Historical Society	N	N	---
Arizona Office of Tourism	N	N	---
Arizona State Land Department	Y	N	---
Arizona Mine Inspector	N	N	---
Arizona State Library, Archives & Public Records	---	---	---
Arizona State Parks	Y	N	---
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	---	---	---
Arizona State University	Y	Y	Y ²
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority	---	---	---
School Facilities Board	Y	N	N
Northern Arizona University	Y	Y	N
University of Arizona	Y	N	---

--- Left blank

Y¹ 416 W. Congress, Tucson (lease)

Y² Downtown Phoenix Post Office and the A.E. England Building (lease space)

A.R.S 41-862 PROGRAM

In cooperation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, each state agency shall establish a program to locate, inventory and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agencies ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the register. Each state agency shall exercise caution to insure that the property is not inadvertently transferred, sold, demolished, substantially altered or allowed to deteriorate significantly.

Table 4. Agency activities to locate, evaluate, and nominate properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places

Agency	Agency Program to Locate and Evaluate Properties	Conducted Surveys in 2011-12	Consulted with SHPO on Eligibility of Properties	Properties Nominated to the Arizona Register in 2011-12
Arizona Corporation Commission	N	N	N	N
Arizona Department of Agriculture	---	---	---	---
Arizona Dept. of Administration	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Corrections	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Emergency & Military Affairs	Y	Y	Y	N
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Health Services/State Hospital	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Housing	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Real Estate	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Transportation	Y	Y	Y	N
Arizona Dept. of Veterans' Services	N	N	Y	N
Arizona Dept. of Water Resources	N	N	N	N
Arizona Division of Emergency Management	N	N	N	N
Arizona Game & Fish Dept.	N	Y	Y	N
Arizona Geological Survey	N	N	N	N
Arizona Historical Society	N	N	N	N

Arizona Office of Tourism	N	N	N	N
Arizona State Land Department	Y	Y	Y	N
Arizona Mine Inspector	Y	N	N	N
Arizona State Library, Archives & Public Records	---	---	---	---
Arizona State Parks	Y	N	N	N
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	---	---	---	---
Arizona State University	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority	---	N	N	N
School Facilities Board	N	N	N	N
Northern Arizona University	N	N	N	N
University of Arizona	Y	N	N	N

Pursuant to A.R.S 41-862, agencies were asked to provide information on their program to locate, inventory, and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agency's ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the Register.

Responses indicated that 34.8% of agencies had such programs; when the responses of agencies that responded in FY2010-2011 are compared to their responses this year, a 17.9% decrease in positive answers can be seen. In addition, only 16.7% of the agencies had conducted survey or inventory to identify Arizona Register-eligible properties; this is a 15% reduction from the previous year for those agencies that responded last year and this year. A total of 20.8% of agencies had determined at least one property eligible for the Register, but no agency had actually nominated a property to the Arizona Register.

A.R.S. 41-863 RECORDS

Each state agency shall initiate measures, in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, to assure that if, as a result of state action or assistance given by the agency, historic property is to be substantially altered or demolished, timely steps are taken to make appropriate documentary recordation with standards which the state historic preservation officer establishes. The agency shall deposit the records with the Department of Library, Archives and Public Records and with the State Historic Preservation Office for future use and reference.

A total of 24.0% of agencies provided information on measures that they initiated, in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, to make appropriate documentary recordation of any historic properties that were or will be demolished or substantially altered due to agency action and provided information on the submittal of these documents to the required archival repository. Of these, 26.7% included archaeological recordation, 13.3% collected ethnohistorical or ethnographic data, 26.7% gathered historical information, and 20.0% included architectural documentation. A total of 13% included documentation meeting the standards of the Historic American Building Survey/ Historic American Engineering Record (HABS/HAER) standards. When asked if any of the properties substantially altered or destroyed were actually listed in the Arizona Register, 33.3% responded positively. Among the agencies that responded both last year and this year, this represents an increase as last year's survey responses indicated that none of the properties that were adversely affected were listed on or eligible for the Arizona Register.

Table 5. Documentary recordation of properties altered or demolished

Agency	Documented Properties Destroyed or Altered	Type of Documentation	Property Listed on Arizona or National Register of Historic Places	Documentation Submitted
ACC	N			
AZDA				
ADOA	N			
ADC	N			
ADEMA	N			
ADEQ	N			
ADHS	N			
ADH	N			
ADRE	N			
ADOT	N	Archaeological/Ethnohistoric /Historical	N	SHPO & ASLAPR
ADVS	N			
ADWR	N			
ADEM	Y	Archaeological/Ethnohistoric/ Historical/ Architectural/HABS/ HAER	N	SHPO
AG&F	Y	Archaeological/Historical/ Architectural	Y	SHPO
AGS	N			
AHS	N			
AOT	N			
ASLD	Y	Archaeological/Historical	N	SHPO
AMI	N			
ASLAPR				
ASP	N			
ASDB				
ASU	Y	Architectural	N	SHPO
AWIFA	N			
SFB	Y		Y	SHPO
NAU	N			
UA	N			

A.R.S. 41-864 REVIEW OF AGENCY PLANS

The State Historic Preservation Officer has thirty working days in which to review and comment on any plans of a state agency, which involve property which is included on or may qualify for inclusion on the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction projects, sale, lease or acquisition of historic properties, to insure that the prehistorical, historical, architectural or cultural significant values will be preserved or enhanced.

Agencies were surveyed on whether they solicited review and comment from the SHPO on agency plans involving properties either listed on or eligible for the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction project, sale, lease or acquisition of a historic property. A total of 58.3% of agencies indicated that they had solicited review and comment by the SHPO on agency plans. Of these, 12.5% reported

that their plans would have negative impacts on register-eligible properties, while 25% indicated that their plans would have positive impacts.

Agencies provided the following information (Table 6) on the results of project review including any eligible properties negatively impacted or enhanced by agency plans/activities.

Table 6. Results of consultation with SHPO & Tribes on agency plans/projects

Agency	Consultation on Agency Plans	Positive Impacts on Historic Properties	Negative Impacts on Historic Properties	Consultation with Indian Tribes
Arizona Corporation Commission	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Agriculture				
Arizona Dept. of Administration	Y	Y	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Corrections	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Emergency & Military Affairs	Y	N	N	Y
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Health Services/State Hospital	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Housing	N	N	N	Y
Arizona Dept. of Real Estate	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Transportation	Y	N	Y	Y
Arizona Dept. of Veterans' Services	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Water Resources	N	N	N	N
Arizona Division of Emergency Management	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Game & Fish Dept.	Y	N	Y	Y
Arizona Geological Survey	N	N	N	N
Arizona Historical Society	N			N
Arizona Office of Tourism	N			N
Arizona State Land Dept.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Arizona Mine Inspector	N			N
Arizona State Library, Archives, & Public Records				
Arizona State Parks	Y	Y		N
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	N			N
Arizona State University	Y	Y	Y	Y
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority	N			N
School Facilities Board	Y			N
Northern Arizona University				
University of Arizona	Y	Y	Y	Y

Agencies reporting an enhancement of a historic property include work at Ft. Verde State Historic Park by Arizona State Parks to improve grading and drainage in order to better protect the adobe buildings. The University of Arizona rehabilitated the Cannon-Douglass House (listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1982). Northern Arizona University's Master Plan identified the historic district and incorporated a historic district plan. Arizona State University replaced spalling marblecrete on the loading dock walls at Gammage Auditorium, as well as

rehabilitating the Post Office at their downtown Phoenix campus for various student uses. The Arizona Historical Society renovated the Charles O. Brown House in Tucson, enabling several non-profit organizations to operate from the facility.

The following negative impacts resulting from actions by state agencies were reported: Sites on State Trust Land that would be affected by road construction or development were mitigated through data recovery or testing. During construction of a recreational fishing pond at AGFD's Lee Valley Pond project, the Amity Pueblo was negatively impacted, disturbing human remains and numerous archaeological features. AGFD and the US Fish & Wildlife Service are consulting with the relevant parties in preparation for mitigation of the adverse effects. As part of the development of a shooting range, the AGFD dismantled the historic Foster Ranch; they have developed interpretive exhibits and signage to help educate visitors about the history of the area.

Agency Comments:

Arizona Department of Transportation

ADOT is in the process of developing a programmatic approach for evaluating and treating historic roads in the state.

Arizona State Hospital

In order to help protect the historic building from weathering, the roof was replaced; products to deter birds from nesting and perching on the building have also been installed. Facilities and landscaping personnel monitor the building on a regular basis.

Arizona Historical Society

Funding from the City of Tucson, private citizens and the AHS was used to generate an assessment for facility improvements at the Charles O. Brown House. Flood repair was undertaken at the Douglas-Williams House in Douglas. The roof was repaired at the Riordan Mansion State Historic Park in Flagstaff.

University of Arizona

The University asked for two archaeological sites to be monitored by the Arizona Site Steward Program.

Northern Arizona University

A single person reviews construction and maintenance plans/activities to ensure that National Register-listed and/or eligible properties are not adversely affected.

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

ADEQ submits permits for the discharge of treated wastewater to the SHPO for their review to help protect cultural resources from impacts. This process is also followed for Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) permits.

State agencies were asked additional questions regarding their historic preservation activities, planning, and funding. A total of 25% of agencies said that they had projects that involved consultation with Indian Tribes in compliance with Executive Order 2006-14; when responses from agencies that responded both years were compared, this represents a 12.1% decrease from last year's survey results. When queried as to whether they utilized the State Historic Preservation Plan produced by the SHPO,

47.8% of the agencies responded affirmatively; however, this is an 18.4% reduction from FY2010-2011 among agencies that responded both years. When asked if they participated in the Arizona Site Steward Program in FY2011-2012, 12.5% stated that they take advantage of this valuable site protection program. Since the Arizona Heritage Fund no longer exists, state agencies did not apply for any historic preservation grants or funding in FY2011-2012.

Table 7. Agencies utilizing the State Historic Preservation Plan produced by the SHPO

Agency	Consultation on Agency Plans
Arizona Corporation Commission	N
Arizona Dept. of Agriculture	
Arizona Dept. of Administration	Y
Arizona Dept. of Corrections	N
Arizona Dept. of Emergency & Military Affairs	N
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality	N
Arizona Dept. of Health Services/State Hospital	N
Arizona Dept. of Housing	N
Arizona Dept. of Real Estate	N
Arizona Dept. of Transportation	Y
Arizona Dept. of Veterans' Services	Y
Arizona Dept. of Water Resources	
Arizona Division of Emergency Management	Y
Arizona Game & Fish Dept.	N
Arizona Geological Survey	N
Arizona Historical Society	Y
Arizona Office of Tourism	N
Arizona State Land Dept.	Y
Arizona Mine Inspector	N
Arizona State Library, Archives, & Public Records	
Arizona State Parks	Y
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	
Arizona State University	Y
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority	N
School Facilities Board	Y
Northern Arizona University	Y
University of Arizona	Y

Agency Training

The following training opportunities were offered in FY 2011-2012:

Training at the Historic Preservation Partnership Conference

- Arizona Historic Preservation Act, AZSITE & Arizona Antiquities Act
- Introduction to Prehistoric Artifact Analysis
- The Basics of Historical Period Artifact Identification
- Sharing Interactive Maps with Google Maps and Google Earth
- National Historic Landmarks Update
- Greening Your Historic Home
- Preserving Small Business as an Economic Development Strategy
- Teaming Up for Preservation
- Bonding for Preservation
- Renewable Energy Meets Cultural Resource Management
- Arizona Burial Law, Past, Present & Future
- Urban Preservation Perspectives
- Rural Preservation Perspectives
- Tribal Preservation Perspectives
- How Can We Use Social Media to Help Preserve Historic Buildings
- A Sustainable Future for Cultural Heritage
- Undertaking Historical Research

National Preservation Institute and/or SHPO

- Cemetery Landscapes: A Guide to Care & Maintenance
- NEPA Compliance and Cultural Resources
- Cemetery Preservation
- Back to Basics: Essentials of Section 106

Agency staff attended the following training offered by the SHPO and cooperating agencies in FY 2011-2012:

- Arizona Historic Preservation Act, AZSITE, & Arizona Antiquities Act
- Back to Basics: Essentials of Section 106
- Tribal Preservation Perspectives

State Agency Requests for Training for FY 2012-2013:

- Historic preservation legislation compliance
- How to nominate a property to the Arizona/National Register
- Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation
- Tribal issues and consultation
- Identification and evaluation of properties
- Archaeology basics for non-archaeologists
- Historic building maintenance and rehabilitation

Special Achievements and Awards:

- University of Arizona: Cannon-Douglass House Rehabilitation Project received 2012 Governor's Heritage Preservation Award

SUMMARY

As can be seen from the survey responses for FY2011-2012, there has been a decrease in the amount of historic preservation work among state agencies in general. Fewer agencies appear to have complied with the requirements of the State Historic Preservation Act, as well having undertaken fewer voluntary or proactive historic preservation endeavors. In addition, FY2011-2012 saw a decrease in tribal consultation efforts by state agencies, as required by E.O. 2006-14. The cause(s) behind these deficiencies is unclear, however, so future surveys generated by the SHPO will ask agencies to discuss potential reasons for any decreases in compliance efforts. In this way, the SHPO will be better able to evaluate agency compliance and provide advice to agencies on how to improve mandated historic preservation requirements for the benefit of Arizona's citizens.

APPENDIX

State agency survey questionnaire conducted through SurveyMonkey:

1. Has your agency incorporated historic preservation into your agency planning?
 - 1.a. If yes, is historic preservation incorporated in agency plan? Agency policies, procedures, or regulations? Stipulations in applications or agreements? Other?
2. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-861 has your agency designated an employee to coordinate the agency's historic preservation responsibilities?
 - 2.a. If yes, how much of this designee's work time is devoted to historic preservation activities?
 - 2.b. Please provide contact information of designee.
 - 2.c. Do you have additional staff devoted to historic preservation activities?
 - 2.d. Does this designee or any other staff, who are designated to work on historic preservation activities, meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for history, architecture, or archaeology?
3. Approximately how many historic preservation projects/ activities did your agency have this year?
4. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-861, has your agency considered the use of historic properties available to the agency prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out agency responsibilities?
 - 4.a. Did your agency acquire, lease or construct buildings to carry out agency responsibilities in the last year?
 - 4.b. If yes, list any historic properties acquired or leased in the last year.
5. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-862, does your agency have a program to locate, inventory and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agency's ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the register?
 - 5.a. Did your agency survey or inventory to identify, Arizona Register listed or eligible properties in this last year?
 - 5.b. Did your agency, in consultation with the SHPO, determine any properties eligible for the Arizona Register or National Register in this last year?
 - 5.c. Did your agency nominate any properties to the Arizona or National Registers in the last year?
 - 5.d. If yes, list properties nominated.
6. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-863, did your agency take measures, in consultation with the SHPO, to make appropriate documentary recordation of any historic properties that were or will be demolished or substantially altered due to agency action?
 - 6.a. If yes, what type of documentation? Archaeological (data recovery)? Ethnohistoric or Ethnographic? Historical? State-level architectural? Historic American Building Survey? Historic American Engineering Record?
 - 6.b. Was the property that was substantially altered or destroyed listed on the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places?
 - 6.c. If yes, please list the name of the property.
 - 6.d. Has the documentation been submitted to the required archival repository? State Historic Preservation Office? Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records?
7. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-864, has your agency solicited review and comment from the State Historic Preservation Officer on any agency plans, which involve properties that are included on or may qualify for inclusion on the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction projects, sales, leases or acquisitions of historic properties?
 - 7.a. Were any properties eligible for or listed on the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places negatively impacted by agency plans/ actions?
 - 7.b. Were any properties eligible for or listed on the Arizona or National Register enhanced by agency plans/ actions?
 - 7.c. Did any of your projects involve consultation with Indian Tribes in compliance with Executive Order 2006-14?
8. Does your agency utilize the State Historic Preservation Plan produced by the SHPO?
 - 8.a. The Arizona Site Steward Program is a volunteer program to help agencies protect and preserve Arizona and National Register properties through site/ property monitoring and education. Does your agency participate in the Arizona Site Steward Program?
 - 8.b. Has your agency applied for historic preservation grant funding in the last year to assist with acquisition, identification, evaluation, nomination, rehabilitation, or education activities?
 - 8.c. If yes, did you receive funding?
 - 8.d. If yes, which of the following funding sources did you receive funding from: Grants from Tribes? Save America's Treasures? Historic Preservation Fund? Other?

- 8.e. If yes, funding was awarded for: Protection? Survey/inventory? Assessment? Rehabilitation? Nomination? Acquisition? Education? Other?
- 8.f. If yes, amount of funding?
9. List any other proactive agency activities to protect, preserve or enhance Arizona Register listed or eligible properties.
10. If anyone from your agency has attended any of the following training offered by the SHPO and cooperating organizations/agencies in the last year, please indicate which training.
- 10.a. If you attended trainings at the Historic Preservation Partnership Conference, which trainings did you attend (check list).
- 10.b. If you attended National Preservation Institute trainings, which one of the trainings did you attend (check list).
11. Please check any additional training needs (check list).
12. Please provide any additional information on your agency's historic preservation program and activities not covered by the above questions, including special achievements and awards.

*This document was compiled by the State Historic Preservation Office, Arizona State Parks.
For more information call 602-542-4174.*

Arizona State Parks

1300 W. Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone & TTY **(602) 542-4174**

Toll Free **(800) 285-3703**

from 520 & 928 area codes

Fax (602) 542-4180

*Equal Employment Opportunity
Agency. This document is
available in alternative formats.
Contact the ADA Coordinator
at (602) 542-4174.*



AZStateParks.com