



**STATE OF ARIZONA
AGENCY HISTORIC
PRESERVATION COMPLIANCE
PERFORMANCE REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2013-2014

**Prepared by the
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)
Arizona State Parks**

2014 REPORT ON STATE AGENCY PERFORMANCE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

INTRODUCTION

Arizona Revised Statutes §41-861 through §41-864 (or the State Historic Preservation Act) direct state agencies to: preserve historic properties under their ownership or control; consider the use of historic properties for agency responsibilities; establish a program to locate, inventory, and nominate properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places; insure that properties are not destroyed or substantially altered by state action or assistance; make appropriate documentation in accordance with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) standards if a property is to be destroyed or altered; and seek review and comment from the SHPO on agency plans. The terms “historic property” or “historic properties,” as cited within the State Historic Preservation Act, refer to properties that are eligible for, or listed on, the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

This report provides a summary of the performance of state agencies in compliance with these state statutes. The information provided was compiled from an agency self-evaluation questionnaire designed by the SHPO (**Appendix A**) for FY 2013-2014. Table 1 lists the 21 agencies that responded to the survey questionnaire (**Appendix B, Table 1**). Table 1a (**Appendix B**) lists the agencies that did not respond to the survey.

A.R.S. 41-861 AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

The chief administrator of each state agency is responsible for the preservation of historic properties, which are owned or controlled by the agency. Prior to acquiring, constructing or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out agency responsibilities, each agency shall consider the use of historic buildings. Each agency shall undertake any preservation necessary to carry out this article in a manner consistent with the preservation of historic properties, the duties of the agency and professional standards, which the state historic preservation officer recommends. The chief administrator of a state agency may designate a full-time employee to coordinate the agency’s activities under this article.

Agencies were asked if they had incorporated historic preservation into their internal planning. A total of 90% (n=19) of the agencies that responded indicated that they had incorporated historic preservation in their agency planning. This is a 19% increase from last year’s survey, and also an increase from FY 2011-2012. However, when queried if they had included historic preservation in their state plan, only 14 of the 21 agencies (67%) responded, and only six of those (43%) responded in the positive; this represents a decrease from last year’s survey when 10 respondent agencies reported having incorporated historic preservation into their internal planning endeavors.

A total of 81% (n=17) of the respondents indicated that historic preservation was included in agency policies, procedures, or regulations, and 88% (n=15) stated that they had incorporated historic preservation into applications or agreements. Both of

these figures represent significant increases in positive responses when compared to last year's survey.

The perception that preservation planning is only applicable to agencies if they manage or own historic period properties, particularly historic buildings, still persists, however, despite the fact that the State Historic Preservation Act requires that agencies consider whether any of their actions (including grant-funded projects, permitting, licensing approvals, etc.) have the potential to impact historic properties of any kind. The general misperception that the term "historic property" only refers to buildings or structures that date from the historic period also is still manifested in this year's survey responses; when, in reality, this term refers to any site, object, district, structure, or building that is eligible for, or listed on, the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

Agencies were asked if they had designated an employee to coordinate the agency's historic preservation responsibilities. Responses (**Appendix C, Table 2**) indicate that 76% (n=16) of agencies had designated such an employee; this figure represents a slight increase from last year's survey results when 14 agencies stated that they had such a position. Many agencies cited lack of funding and loss of critical positions for reasons as to why they did not have a dedicated staff person to oversee their agency's historic preservation requirements. Among agencies that had designated a historic preservation coordinator, only 19% (n=3) indicated that the employee was committed full-time to preservation activities. When asked how many agencies had additional staff devoted to historic preservation compliance, of the 16 agencies that responded to this question, seven (44%) responded in the positive, a slight increase from 39% in last year's survey.

In an effort to ascertain if agencies were hiring qualified individuals to direct their historic preservation activities, agencies were asked if these coordinators met the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for history, architecture, or archaeology. Less than half (44%; n=8) of the 18 agencies that answered this question indicated that their staff charged with overseeing their historic preservation programs met these qualifications. This figure represents a slight decrease relative to the figures from FY 2010-2011, FY 2011-2012, and FY 2012-2013, suggesting that little attempt is being made by agencies to acquire professionals that are knowledgeable in the areas of expertise needed to adequately comply with the State Historic Preservation Act. In addition, it is unclear if some (n=3) agencies actually understood what the Secretary of Interior's Standards are, as they indicated that their staff has these qualifications but it was not clear that met those standards.

When asked how many historic preservation projects or activities they conducted, sponsored, funded, etc. during FY 2013-14, 33% (n=7) responded that they had between 1 and 5 projects/activities, 10% (n=2) had between 6 and 10 projects, 10% (n=2) had between 11-50 projects, one agency had between 51-100 projects, and an additional agency had between 101- 500 projects. The responses indicate that it would help if the survey explained that the question is referring to any project that an agency conducted for which they had to undertake studies (e.g., an archaeological survey, a historic building rehabilitation, etc.); the question is not just referring to any project in which they undertook physical work on a historic property.

Table 3 (**Appendix D**) summarizes agency use of historic period buildings. Asked if they had acquired, leased or constructed buildings to carry out their responsibilities, 24% (n=5) of agencies indicated that they had. Also, asked if they had considered the use of historic properties available to them prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out their responsibilities, 57% (n=12) responded that they had. Only one agency indicated that they had actually leased a historic property for their use.

A.R.S 41-862 PROGRAM

In cooperation with the state historic preservation officer, each state agency shall establish a program to locate, inventory and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agencies ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the register. Each state agency shall exercise caution to insure that the property is not inadvertently transferred, sold, demolished, substantially altered or allowed to deteriorate significantly.

Pursuant to A.R.S 41-862, agencies were asked to provide information on their program to locate, inventory, and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agency's ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the Register. Responses (**Appendix E, Table 4**) stated that 55% (n=11) of agencies had such programs; this represents an increase from last year's survey. However, only 19% (n=4) of the agencies had conducted survey or inventory to identify Arizona Register-eligible properties, and even less (only 10%; n=2) of the agencies had determined at least one property eligible for the Register in consultation with the SHPO. Furthermore, no agency had actually nominated a property to the Arizona Register during FY 2013-2014. Thus, it is unclear what the agencies with such programs are actually doing, since they do not appear to be locating, inventorying, or nominating properties that they own, control, or can potentially affect with their actions or their funding, permitting, etc.

A.R.S. 41-863 RECORDS

Each state agency shall initiate measures, in consultation with the state historic preservation officer, to assure that if, as a result of state action or assistance given by the agency, historic property is to be substantially altered or demolished, timely steps are taken to make appropriate documentary recordation with standards which the state historic preservation officer establishes. The agency shall deposit the records with the Department of Library, Archives and Public Records and with the state historic preservation officer for future use and reference.

A total of 33% (n=7) of agencies (**Appendix F, Table 5**) provided information on measures that they initiated, in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, to make appropriate documentary recordation of any historic properties that were, or will be, demolished or substantially altered due to agency action; they provided information on the submittal of these documents to the required archival repository. Of these seven agencies, two agencies included archaeological recordation, one agency collected ethnohistorical or ethnographic data, four agencies gathered historical information, and two agencies indicated that they had conducted oral interviews as part of their research and documentation efforts. Two agencies included state level architectural documentation, while three agencies included documentation meeting the federal standards of the Historic American Building Survey/ Historic American Engineering Record (HABS/HAER). No agency reported

that a Register-listed property was destroyed or substantially altered in the past Fiscal Year. Two agencies indicated that they had not submitted the documentation performed on or for these historic properties to the required archival repository (i.e., the SHPO or the Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records).

A.R.S. 41-864 REVIEW OF AGENCY PLANS

The state historic preservation officer has thirty working days in which to review and comment on any plans of a state agency, which involve property which is included on or may qualify for inclusion on the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction projects, sale, lease or acquisition of historic properties, to insure that the prehistoric, historical, architectural or cultural significant values will be preserved or enhanced.

As per A.R.S. 41-864, agencies were surveyed on whether they solicited review and comment from the SHPO on agency plans involving properties either listed on or eligible for the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction project, sale, lease or acquisition of a historic property. Only 38% (n=8) of agencies indicated that they had solicited review and comment by the SHPO on agency plans. This figure represents a slight decrease (from 43%) from last year's responses.

Two agencies reported that their plans would have, or did have, negative impacts on Register-eligible properties. A total of three agencies indicated that their plans had, or would have, positive impacts on Register-eligible properties; this is a slight decrease from last year when four agencies indicated that their actions had enhanced, or would enhance, eligible/listed cultural properties.

Tribal consultation can help an agency better inventory, document, and manage prehistoric and historic aboriginal properties, yet only five (24%) of the agencies consulted with tribes as per their tribal consultation plans required by Executive Order 2006-14. This percentage is slightly lower than last year's response (29%), indicating little change in the number of agencies consulting with tribes.

State agencies were asked additional questions regarding their historic preservation activities, planning, and funding. The Statewide Historic Preservation Plan (HPP) produced by the SHPO contains a set of policy guidelines that reflect a consensus as to the state of cultural resource management and the public's perspective on historic preservation issues. When queried as to whether they took advantage of this existing guidance document and utilized the HPP, 60% (n=12) of the agencies responded affirmatively (**Appendix H, Table 7**), although they did not provide any examples of how they are using the HPP in their programs. This figure represents a significant increase in the number of agencies utilizing the Statewide HPP when compared with last year's figures (n=8). When agencies were asked why they did not use the HPP, they cited various reasons that suggest that they are not familiar with it or that they confused it with the State Historic Preservation Act. Reasons such as "no historic properties," or "no activities," or "not applicable," or "haven't had to use it," or "small agency, no budget" suggest that they are not aware that the statewide HPP contains information about historic preservation that is not just related to compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act.

Agencies provided information (**Appendix G, Table 6**) on the results of project evaluation and review, including any eligible properties negatively impacted or enhanced by agency plans/activities.

- The following **negative impacts** resulting from actions by state agencies were described:

Arizona State University

A renovation of the Old Main building on the Tempe Campus was completed. The SHPO had determined the proposed design to be an adverse effect to the historic property. ASU also had archaeological data recovery performed in order to mitigate adverse effects to historic and prehistoric sites in downtown Tempe.

Arizona Department of Transportation

Although ADOT tries to avoid adversely affecting archaeological sites with their road projects, they are not always able to do this. However, any adverse effect was mitigated through archaeological data recovery or other relevant type of treatment.

- The following agencies described an **enhancement** of a historic property:

Arizona State University

ASU worked on upgrades to the downtown campus' Phoenix Post Office to improve life safety, and restored most of the original ceiling heights on the second floor. Exterior rehabilitation of the University Club on the Tempe Campus is proposed (awaiting funding) and will preserve the building exterior. ASU staff also consulted with the SHPO on numerous other projects involving rehabilitation or restoration work to historic period properties. Additionally, ASU has hired an architect to assess the exterior of Old Main, and preservation recommendations will be submitted to the SHPO for review and comment in FY15.

Arizona State Parks

At Ft. Verde State Historic Park, ASP performed maintenance improvements to the Adjutant's Office by stabilizing adobe walls. At the historic Tonto Lodge, Tonto Natural Bridge State Park, ASP conducted improvements to the mechanical plumbing and electrical components, and performed ADA compliance measures and added an ADA-compliant suite/bedroom. At McFarland State Historic Park (the 1st Pinal County Courthouse), ASP completed flooring and handrail repairs.

ASP also participates in the Arizona Site Steward Program, utilizing these valuable volunteers to monitor for impacts to cultural resources on ASP lands. The presence of Site Stewards at these sensitive heritage properties helps to curtail vandalism, looting, dumping, and other adverse impacts.

University of Arizona

In the Forbes Building (1915), the UA is currently planning to upgrade the main lobby, building entry sequence, and outdoor plaza space. Rehabilitation measures will include removing 1960s finishes, and exposing and restoring original plaster-coffered ceilings and other features. UA also worked with the Residence Life Department to preserve and restore original wooden entry doors to Pinal Hall, located in the 1946 Arizona Stadium Addition. UA staff, in coordination with UA Communications, installed WIFI antennas throughout the campus historic district. The Arizona State

Museum (1935) staff is in the process of developing plans to upgrade the historic main entry steps and entry plaza area. Measures will include solving water infiltration issues, retiling stairs, and repaving the entry plaza.

Regarding Old Main (1891), the building underwent the most complete rehabilitation in its 123-year history. The building's original cruciform plan and two of the original quadrants were restored; the roof, porch and veranda structures were replaced; water issues were corrected; and, building systems were upgraded while preserving the historic appearance of the building. In addition, the Berger Memorial Fountain (1919), located adjacent to Old Main, was rehabilitated, re-plumbed, new lighting was added, and the upper level was made universally accessible without diminishing the original character of this historic period feature.

Arizona State Schools for the Deaf and Blind

Although not a direct enhancement to a historic property, the ASDB currently has a volunteer who processes and collects items relating to the history of the Campus. This volunteer currently works with all members of the Campus to find and document its history, and has also developed a display of these items in the former Superintendent's house. This "museum" is open to the public free of charge, and the volunteer is willing to give tours to share the history of the Deaf and Blind schools.

Arizona Game and Fish Department

The AGFD is in the process of assessing the condition of the prehistoric Chevelon Pueblo, a large and important archaeological site that has been listed on the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

Arizona Corporation Commission

The ACC has occasionally taken measures to preserve historic properties, in consultation with the SHPO, through its conditions within the Siting Certificates that it issues.

Arizona Department of Transportation

ADOT is in the process of developing a programmatic approach for evaluating and treating historic roads in the state.

Arizona Exposition and State Fair

Restroom stalls in the Grandstands were remodeled and adobe walls were repaired after consulting with the SHPO.

Arizona State Mine Inspector

The ASMI has an abandoned mines program and consults with the SHPO to determine if a mine site proposed for closure may or may not have historical significance. If the mine is historic in age, SHPO staff performs a records check (historical and modern) to see if the closing of the mine may adversely affect any Register-eligible characteristics that the mine may have.

- Participation in other positive historic preservation opportunities:

Site Steward Program

Similar to last year's survey, when asked if they participated in the Arizona Site Steward Program during FY 2013-2014, only 10% (n=2) stated that they take

advantage of this valuable program for protecting significant cultural resources that they own or manage.

Grants for Historic Preservation Needs and/or Proactive Activities

State agencies generally did not apply for any grants or funding in FY 2013-2014 that could aid them in implementing historic preservation endeavors. Only one agency, the University of Arizona, applied for a historic preservation grant; they were successful and received a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities for survey/inventory and assessment activities related to historic properties.

Agency Training

Throughout FY 2013-2014, the following training opportunities were offered by the SHPO and/or cooperating agencies (e.g., the National Preservation Institute and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation) and partners. Six agencies indicated that they had attended some of these trainings; this is a significant increase from last year's survey results (n=2), indicating that more agencies are seeing the importance of obtaining this valuable training for their staff that are involved in historic preservation activities.

Training Sessions at the Historic Preservation Partnership Conference

- A Conversation with the SHPO
- Building and Business Preservation in a Historic Downtown Area
- Economic Impact of Heritage Tourism
- A Conversation with the Tribal Historic Preservation Officers
- Historic Preservation Grant Seeking and Writing to Win
- National Register Nominations (multiple sessions)
- Historic Preservation, Livability and Economic Development
- Archaeology and Economic Revitalization

Other Training Opportunities

- National Historic Preservation Act/Section 106 class
- Traditional Cultural Properties Workshop (offered by the SHPO)
- National Preservation Institute seminars

Requests for Future Training Opportunities (in order of frequency of request):

- Identification and evaluation of historic properties
- Compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act
- Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation
- Tribal issues and consultation
- How to nominate a property to the Arizona and National Registers of Historic Places
- Archaeology basics for non-archaeologists
- Historic building maintenance and rehabilitation
- Compliance with National Historic Preservation Act/Section 106

SUMMARY

When compared to previous years' surveys, the 2013-14 survey responses show a decrease in the amount of historic preservation work conducted by state agencies in general. Responses indicate a continuing decline in the number of agencies that are integrating historic preservation into their planning endeavors at many levels. There continues to be a lack of understanding that the term "historic property" as included in the State Historic Preservation Act refers to any property that is eligible for, or listed on, the Arizona Register of Historic Places, not just to properties dating from the historic period. Many agencies also seem to think that the law only applies to historic properties that they own or control; they do not understand that it requires that an agency's plans and actions consider potential impacts to ANY property that is eligible for, or listed on, the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

Levels of hiring dedicated staff positions to coordinate agencies' historic preservation responsibilities appear to have increased slightly from last year's survey results; however, most of these jobs are part-time or less, and are not filled by individuals that meet state and/or federal professional qualifications in history, archaeology, or architecture. Employment of designated, qualified staff to oversee an agency's historic preservation planning and activities is critical to assisting agencies in their compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act, and in preventing inadvertent damage to historic properties.

Awareness of the importance of utilizing, preserving and/or protecting Register-eligible/listed cultural properties, when possible, does not appear to have grown much through FY 2013-2014. Only one agency reported leasing a historic period property for its use. When compared to the previous year, fewer Register-eligible/listed properties were enhanced. In addition, very few voluntary or proactive historic preservation endeavors were noted. More effort is also needed to seek out grant funding that can help agencies meet historic preservation needs, as well as utilizing existing volunteer programs, such as the Arizona Site Steward Program administered by Arizona State Parks. Even though multiple training venues on historic preservation laws and issues were offered last year (many of which were free/no cost), very few state agencies took advantage of these opportunities.

With regard to agencies' compliance with Executive Order 2006-14, FY 2013-2014 saw very little change in the number of state agencies engaging in Tribal consultation efforts. Again, many agencies felt that this was only necessary if they owned a cultural property that was important to Tribes; they did not recognize that Tribes are interested in learning about agency plans and/or actions that can adversely impact traditional or cultural properties, regardless of whether or not an agency owns or controls those cultural resources.

Agencies generally cited a lack of funding and positions as reasons for decreased compliance with the various requirements of the State Historic Preservation Act and E.O. 2006-14. However, the dual misperceptions held by many agencies that only have to comply with this law if: 1) properties date to the historic period (i.e., are over 50 years old, but not as old as prehistoric properties), and 2) they only own or manage significant properties, continues to deter many agencies from improving their historic preservation activities. In order to help preserve, or document, significant heritage resources for the benefit of Arizona's citizens, state agencies need to refocus their

planning, and determine how actions for which they are responsible can affect ANY Register-eligible/listed property, not just those that date to the historic period or that they own or control.

In an effort to help agencies better understand, and comply with, the State Historic Preservation Act, staff of the State Historic Preservation Office is available to provide training on this statute. These trainings are provided at no cost to the agency, and can be tailored to assist specific agencies in their compliance responsibilities.

APPENDIX A

State agency survey questionnaire conducted through Survey Monkey:

1. Has your agency incorporated historic preservation into your agency planning?
 - 1.a. If yes, is historic preservation incorporated in agency plan? Agency plan? Agency policies, procedures, or regulations? Stipulations in applications or agreements? Other?
 - 1.b. If not, why not? (please specify)
2. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-861 has your agency designated an employee to coordinate the agency's historic preservation responsibilities?
 - 2.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 2.b. If yes, how much of this designee's work time is devoted to historic preservation activities?
 - 2.c. Please provide contact information of designee.
 - 2.d. Do you have additional staff devoted to historic preservation activities?
 - 2.e. Does this designee or any other staff, who are designated to work on historic preservation activities, meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for history, architecture, or archaeology?
 - 2.f. If not, why not? (please specify)
3. Approximately how many historic preservation projects/ activities did your agency have this year?
4. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-861, has your agency considered the use of historic properties available to the agency prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out agency responsibilities?
 - 4.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 4.b. Did your agency acquire, lease, or construct buildings to carry out agency responsibilities in the last year?
 - 4.c. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 4.d. If yes, list any historic properties acquired or leased in the last year.
5. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-862, does your agency have a program to locate, inventory and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agency's ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the Register?
 - 5.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 5.b. Did your agency conduct surveys or inventories to identify Arizona Register listed or eligible properties in this last year?
 - 5.c. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 5.d. Did your agency, in consultation with the SHPO, determine any properties eligible for the Arizona Register or National Register in this last year?
 - 5.e. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 5.f. Did your agency nominate any properties to the Arizona or National Registers in the last year?
 - 5.g. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 5.h. If yes, list properties nominated.
6. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-863, did your agency take measures, in consultation with the SHPO, to make appropriate documentary recordation of any historic properties that were or will be demolished or substantially altered due to agency action?
 - 6.a. If not, why not? (please specify)

- 6.b. If yes, what type of documentation? Archaeological (data recovery)? Ethnohistoric or Ethnographic? Historical/archival? State-level architectural? Historic American Building Survey? Historic American Engineering Record? Oral (historical) interviews?
- 6.c. Was the property that was substantially altered or destroyed listed on the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places?
- 6.d. If yes, please list the name of the property.
- 6.e. Has the documentation been submitted to the required archival repository? State Historic Preservation Office? Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records?
7. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-864, has your agency solicited review and comment from the State Historic Preservation Officer on any agency plans, which involve properties that are included on or may qualify for inclusion on the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction projects, sales, leases or acquisitions of historic properties?
- 7.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
- 7.b. Were any properties eligible for or listed on the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places negatively impacted by agency plans/actions?
- 7.c. Were any properties eligible for or listed on the Arizona or National Register enhanced by agency plans/actions?
- 7.d. Did any of your projects involve consultation with Indian Tribes in compliance with Executive Order 2006-14?
8. Does your agency utilize the State Historic Preservation Plan produced by the SHPO?
- 8.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
- 8.b. The Arizona Site Steward Program is a volunteer program coordinated by Arizona State Parks to help agencies protect and preserve Arizona and National Register properties through site/property monitoring and education. Does your agency participate in the Arizona Site Steward Program?
- 8.c. Has your agency applied for historic preservation grant funding in the last year to assist with acquisition, identification, evaluation, nomination, rehabilitation, or education activities?
- 8.d. If yes, did you receive funding?
- 8.e. If yes, from which of the following funding sources did you receive funding: Grants from Tribes? Save America's Treasures? Historic Preservation Fund? Other?
- 8.f. Funding was awarded for: Protection/security? Survey/inventory? Assessment? Rehabilitation (buildings)? Nomination? Acquisition? Educational programming? Stabilization (archaeological sites)? Other?
- 8.g. Amount of funding?
9. List any other proactive agency activities to protect, preserve or enhance Arizona Register listed or eligible properties.
10. If anyone from your agency has attended any of the following training offered by the SHPO and cooperating organizations/agencies in the last year, please indicate which training (check list).
- 10.a. If you attended the 2014 Historic Preservation Conference in Rio Rico, which of the presentations listed below did you attend? (check list)
11. Please check any additional training needs (check list)
12. Please provide any additional information on your agency's historic preservation program and activities not covered by the above questions, including special achievements and awards.

Appendix B

Table 1. Agencies that responded to the questionnaire.

Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC)
University of Arizona (UA)
Arizona Department of Administration (ADOA)
Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC)
Arizona Power Authority (APA)
Arizona Department of Health Services/State Hospital (ADHS)
Arizona Department of Housing (ADH)
Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT)
Arizona Division of Emergency Management (ADEM)
Arizona Game & Fish Department (AGFD)
Arizona Geological Survey (AGS)
Arizona Commerce Authority (ACA)
Arizona Exposition and State Fair (AESF)
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ)
Arizona Mine Inspector (AMI)
Arizona State Parks (ASP)
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind (ASDB)
Arizona State University (ASU)
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority (WIFA)
Northern Arizona University (NAU)
School Facilities Board (SFB)

Table 1a. Agencies that did not respond to the questionnaire.

Arizona State Land Department (ASLD)
Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR)
Arizona State Library, Archives, & Public Records (ASLAPR)
Arizona Office of Tourism (AOT)
Arizona Department of Emergency & Military Affairs (ADEMA)
Arizona Historical Society (AHS)
Arizona Department of Real Estate (ADRE)
Arizona Department of Agriculture (AZDA)
Arizona Department of Veteran Services (ADVS)

Appendix C

Table 2. Employees designated to coordinated historic preservation activities.

Agency	Designated Cultural Resource Staff	Time	Other Staff	Staff Meeting Historic Preservation Professional Standards	Approx. Number of Projects/ Activities in 2012-13
ACC	Y	Part Time	N	Y	1-5
ADOA	Y	Part Time	N	Y	1-5
ADC	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ADHS	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ADH	Y	Part Time	Y	N	6-10
ADOT	Y	Full Time	6	Y	101-500
ADEM	Y	Part Time	N	Y	1-5
AGFD	Y	Full Time	2	Y	51-100
AGS	Y	Part Time	Y	N	
AMI	Y	Part Time	2	N	
ASP	Y	Part Time & Full Time	1	Y	11-50
ASDB	Y	Part time	1	N	
ASU	Y	Part Time	N	Y	11-50
WIFA	N				
NAU	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
UA	Y	Part Time	N*	Y	
APA	N		N	N	
ACA	N		N	N	
AESF	N		N		
ADEQ	B				
SFB	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5

N = No

Y = Yes

Blanks (not answered)

* UA has a volunteer committee (UA Historic Preservation Advisory Committee) to assist them in their historic preservation duties.

Appendix D

Table 3. Summary of agency's use of historic buildings.

Agency	Considers the Use of Historic Buildings	Acquired, Leased or Constructed Buildings	Historic Property Acquired or Leased
Arizona Corporation Commission	Y	N	
Arizona Department of Administration	Y	N	
Arizona Department of Corrections	Y	Y	N
Arizona Department of Health Services/State Hospital	Y	N	
Arizona Department of Housing	Y	N	
Arizona Department of Transportation	Y	N	
Arizona Division of Emergency Mgmt	Y	Y	N
Arizona Game & Fish Department	Y	Y	N
Arizona Geological Survey	N	N	
Arizona Mine Inspector	N	N	
Arizona State Parks	N	N	
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	N	N	
Arizona State University	Y	Y	Y ¹
Arizona WIFA	Y	N	
Northern Arizona University	Y	N	
University of Arizona	Y	Y	N
Arizona Power Authority	N	N	
Arizona Commerce Authority	N	N	
Arizona Exposition & State Fair	N	N	
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality		N	
School Facilities Board	N	N	

Y1 = Downtown Phoenix Post Office, A.E. England Building are being leased for the Phoenix Campus.
 Blank in columns 1 & 2 = No response.
 Blank in column 3 = Not applicable

Appendix E

Table 4. Agency activities to locate, evaluate, and nominate properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

Agency	Agency Program to Locate and Evaluate Properties	Conducted Surveys in 2012-13	Consulted with SHPO on Eligibility of Properties	Properties Nominated to the Arizona Register in 2012-13
Arizona Corporation Commission	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Administration	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Corrections	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Health Services/State Hospital	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Housing	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Transportation	Y	Y	Y	N
Arizona Division of Emergency Management	Y	Y	N	N
Arizona Game & Fish Dept.	Y	Y	N	N
Arizona Geological Survey	N	N	N	N
Arizona Mine Inspector	Y	N	N	N
Arizona State Parks	Y	N	N	N
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	N*	N	N	N
Arizona State University	Y	Y	N	N
Arizona WIFA	N	N	N	N
Northern Arizona University	Y	N	N	N
University of Arizona	Y	N	N	N
Arizona Power Authority	N	N	N	N
Arizona Commerce Authority	N	N	N	N
Arizona Exposition & State Fair	N	N	Y	N
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality				
School Facilities Board	Y	N	N	N

Blank = No response.

* = This agency indicated that they are currently working with the SHPO to establish this program.

Appendix F

Table 5. Documentary recordation of properties altered or demolished.

Agency	Documented Properties Destroyed or Altered	Type of Documentation	Property Listed on Arizona or National Register of Historic Places	Documentation Submitted
ACC	N			
ADOA	N			
ADC	N			
ADHS	N			
ADH	Y	HABS	N	SHPO
ADOT	Y	Archaeological/ Ethnohistoric/ Historical/HABS/ HAER/Oral interviews/State level architectural	N	SHPO & ASLAPR
ADEM	Y	Historical	N	SHPO
AG&F	Y	Historical/State- Level Architectural/ Oral Interviews	N	SHPO
AGS	N			
AMI	N			
ASP	N			
ASDB	N			
ASU	Y	Archaeological (data recovery)	N	SHPO
WIFA	N			
NAU	Y		N	
UA	N			
APA	N			
ACA	N			
AESF	Y	Historical	N	
ADEQ				
SFB	N			

Blank = No response.

Appendix G

Table 6. Results of consultation with SHPO & Tribes on agency plans/projects.

Agency	Consultation on Agency Plans	Positive Impacts on Historic Properties	Negative Impacts on Historic Properties	Consultation with Indian Tribes
Arizona Corporation Commission	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Administration	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Corrections	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Health Services/State Hospital	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Housing	Y	N	N	Y
Arizona Dept. of Transportation	Y	N	Y	Y
Arizona Division of Emergency Management	Y	N	N	Y
Arizona Game & Fish Dept.	Y	N	N	Y
Arizona Geological Survey	N	N	N	N
Arizona Mine Inspector	N	N	N	
Arizona State Parks	Y	Y	N	
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	N*	N	N	N
Arizona State University	Y	Y	Y	Y
Arizona WIFA	N	N	N	N
Northern Arizona University	Y	N	N	N
University of Arizona	Y	Y	N	N
Arizona Power Authority	N	N	N	N
Arizona Commerce Authority	N	N	N	N
Arizona Exposition & State Fair	N	N	N	N
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality				
School Facilities Board	N	N	N	N

Blank = No response.

* = Agency indicated that this consultation is currently in progress.

Appendix H

Table 7. Agencies utilizing the State HPP produced by the SHPO.

Agency	Used HPP when Considering and Consulting on Agency Plans
Arizona Corporation Commission	Y
Arizona Dept. of Administration	N
Arizona Dept. of Corrections	Y
Arizona Dept. of Health Services/State Hospital	Y
Arizona Dept. of Housing	Y
Arizona Dept. of Transportation	Y
Arizona Division of Emergency Management	Y
Arizona Game & Fish Dept.	Y
Arizona Geological Survey	N
Arizona Mine Inspector	Y
Arizona State Parks	Y
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind	N
Arizona State University	Y
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority	N
Northern Arizona University	N
University of Arizona	Y
Arizona Power Authority	N
Arizona Commerce Authority	N
Arizona Exposition & State Fair	N
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	
School Facilities Board	Y

Blank = No response.

*This document was compiled by the State Historic Preservation Office, Arizona State Parks.
For more information call 602-542-4174.*

Arizona State Parks

1300 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Reservations (520) 586-2283
Info & TTY (602) 542-4174
Fax (602) 542-4180

*Equal Employment Opportunity
Agency. This document is
available in alternative formats.
Contact the ADA Coordinator
at (602) 542-4174.*

